

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. CV 23-01067-SPG(JDEx)

Date March 15, 2023

Title E.K.B et al v. City of Azusa et al

Present: The Honorable	SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
------------------------	--

P. Gomez Deputy Clerk	Not Reported Court Reporter / Recorder
--------------------------	---

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:  
Not PresentAttorneys Present for Defendants:  
Not Present**Proceeding: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

Plaintiff(s) are **ORDERED** to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, **on or before March 31, 2023**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

Defendant(s) **City of Azusa, and Michael Bertelson** did not answer the complaint, yet Plaintiff(s) have failed to request entry of default, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by seeking entry of default or by dismissing the complaint.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

\_\_\_\_\_: \_\_\_\_\_

Initials of Preparer pg \_\_\_\_\_